### Case 2:17-cr-00659-JMA-AKT Document 36-1 Filed 06/02/20 Page 1 of 13 PageID #: 204

WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

Inmate Name:	Register No. 90475-053	UNIT: KC
CORRIERI, CARMINE		
Evaluation Period:	Work Assignment: Food Se	rvice 5
Dec 26, 2019- Jan 26, 2020		
Bonus Justification		
MR CORRIERI IS AN OUTSTANDING WORKER. HE ALWAYS VOLUNTEERS TO HELP OUT ON HIS DAYS AND EXCELLENT MEMORY HELPED HIM BECOME EXNEW INMATES ON THESE TECHNIQUES. MR CONTINUEMENT OF THE WITH MR CORRIERI. THANK YOU FOR ALL THE	S OFF IN AREAS OF THE KITCHEN THAT NEED TREMELY KNOWLEDGEABLE IN PROPER FOOD S RRIERI ALWAYS HAS A POSITIVE ATTITUDE	O THE HELP. HIS ABILITY TO LEARN SERVICE TECHNIQUES, AND HE TRAINS AND OTHER INMATES ENJOY WORKING
Signature and Date of Dept. Head Approv	al	<del>45, 48 d.</del>
Route to	Dept. Head for Review, Then to Unit T	ream
Instructions: Check the best statement in the rating periodneither the inmate's best satisfactory worker in the assignment.	each area. Base your rating on the pest day nor worst dayas compared to	inmate's overall performance for o what is expected of a
A. QUALITY OF WORK  1. Unsatisfactory. Makes more errors to 2. Fair. Careless; makes mistakes and 3. Satisfactory. Makes some mistakes the Good. Makes fewer mistakes than most outstanding. Does superior work  B. QUANTITY OF WORK  1. Unsatisfactory. Lazy, wastes time, 2. Fair. Does just enough to get by. Fair. Satisfactory. Works steadily but does not be a full of the good. Willing Worker. Does a full of 5. Outstanding. Drives self exceptional	does not check work. Should do better but no more than expected at this levet inmates at this level of training.  goofs off. las to be prodded occasionally. les not push self. lay's work and wastes little time.	r work. el.
C. INITIATIVE  1. Unsatisfactory. Always waits to be 2. Fair. Usually relies on others to s 3. Satisfactory. Can adapt to changes A. Good. Can plan own work well. Acts 5. Outstanding. Has good ideas on bett	ay what needs to be done. in routine. Will start work without w on own in most things. Doesn't wait	waiting to be told.
D. INTEREST; EAGERNESS TO LEARN  1. Poor. Shows no interest in job. Reg  2. Fair. Shows minimal interest but no  3. Satisfactory. Shows average amount of in  4 Good. Above-average interest in job. Asks  5. Outstanding. Eager to master job. Wown time or volunteer to do things that w	t very eager to learn. terest. Wants to learn own job but does no questions about own work and related work. ants to know everything there is to k	May do extra work to improve skills.
2. ABILITY TO LEARN  1. Poor. Has very low aptitude and is very slow to 2. Fair. Slow but if tries eventually 3. Average. No slower and no faster to 4. Good. Learns rapidly. Good memory. 5. Outstanding. Very quick to learn. Easigned here. Never makes the same mista	will pick up the skills. Needs more i learn than most inmates. Requires a Rarely makes the same mistake twice. xcellent memory. Is learning much mor	nstructions than most. everage amount of instruction.
F. NEED FOR SUPERVISION; DEPENDABILITY; S  1. Needs constant supervision. If left unsu  2. Needs closer supervision than most.  3. Average. Can be relied on for certain th  Needs little supervision. Good recounts  5. No supervision required. Completely	pervised will foul up, get in trouble, or we Not very dependable. ings but must be supervised by others. Usuard of dependability an promptness.	

### Case 2:17-cr-00659-JMA-AKT Document 36-1 Filed 06/02/20 Page 2 of 13 PageID #: 205 G. RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION 1. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions. 3. Satisfactory. Generally does what is told without any fuss. 4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve. (5) Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the instructor. Does exactly as is told. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS 1. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some interpersonal difficulties. 3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers and is accepted by them. . Good. Friendly, congenial, helpful; others like to work with. 5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very popular. OVERALL JOB PROFICIENCY Based on this inmate's overall performance during this work period, if this inmate was an employee of yours in the community would you: 1. Fire or lay off that individual? 2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a lower pay scale? 3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise or promotion this time? Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the same job? 5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a higher pay rate? J. GRADES AND PAY 1. Performance Pay - Grade Class (Check one) \_\_\_\_ 1 \_\_\_\_ 2 \_\_\_ 3 2. Hours of Satisfactory work Regular Pay 4. Bonus Recommended: \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_ no 5. Total Pay Supervisor's Anature Date 1/25/2020 A. Innab Inmate's Signature Date X 1/25/2020 Inmate was requested to sign this rating, but refused, citing the following reason:

Date

Staff Witness' Signature

### WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

Inmate's Name: Carmine Corrieri	Register No: 90475-053	Unit: KC
Evaluation Period: Duration of Food Service Work	Work Assignment: F/S # 5	

### Bonus Justification:

I was initially impressed with Carmine Corrieri enthusiasm, communication skills and professional demeanor when he was hired over a year ago. Corrieri is reliable, dedicated and eternally upbeat. He has the ability to multitask effectively and is able to handle a high-volume workload. Corrieri team player mind-set, enthusiastic embrace of change, ability to work with minimal supervision and unwavering commitment to exceeding my high expectations. I thoroughly enjoyed my time working with Carmine Corrieri, and came to know him as a truly valuable asset to absolutely any team. Along with his aforementioned skills he is dependable, and incredibly hard-working.

Carmine Corrieri fulfilled his employment responsibilities with little supervision. The position required the ability to liaise between different departments. Success was dependent on strong communication and interpersonal skills. Corrieri did an excellent job in this position and was an asset to the Bureau of Prisons during his tenure in the Food Service department. He has excellent written and verbal communication skills, is extremely organized, can work independently, and is able to follow through to ensure that the job gets done.

Carmine was tasked with putting together over 4,000 meals, for breakfast, lunch and dinner meals. He developed interpersonal relationships with inmates in need of special, medical and religious diets. He also took to memory every recipe and menu substitution. I was impressed that Carmine would volunteer to come to work every day to ensure that the meals were completed and nothing was left out. His dedication to work and attention to detail is very hard to find. Carmine was a go-to guy for me, if I needed something completed he was always the first in line to complete the job. Corrieri was always willing to offer his assistance and had an excellent rapport amongst other cook supervisors.

Signature and Date of Cook Supervisor

cook superiory

Instructions: Check the best statement in each area. Base your rating on the inmate's overall performance for the rating period--neither the inmate's best day nor worst day--as compared to what is expected of a satisfactory worker in the assignment.

### A. QUALITY OF WORK

- \_\_\_\_I. Unsatisfactory. Makes more errors than should for this level of training. Work must be redone.
- \_\_\_\_2. Fair. Careless; makes mistakes and does not check work. Should do better work.
  - \_\_\_3. Satisfactory. Makes some mistakes but no more than expected at this level.
- \_\_\_4. Good. Makes fewer mistakes than most inmates at this level of training. Does Journeyman level work.
- X 5. Outstanding. Does superior work

B. QUANTITY OF WORK	
1. Unsatisfactory. Lazy, wastes time, goofs off.	
2. Fair. Does just enough to get by. Has to be prodded occasionally.	
3. Satisfactory. Works steadily but does not push self.	
4. Good. Willing Worker. Does a full day's work and wastes little time.	
X 5. Outstanding. Orives self exceptionally hard all the time.	
C. INITIATIVE	
<ul> <li>Unsatisfactory. Always waits to be told what to do. Needs help getting started.</li> <li>Fair. Usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.</li> </ul>	
2. Satisfactory Can adapt to changes in moutine will be done.	
3. Satisfactory. Can adapt to changes in routine. Will start work without waiting to be told.	
4. Good. Can plan own work well. Acts on own in most things. Doesn't wait to be told what to	o do.
X 5. Outstanding. Has good ideas on better ways of doing things.	
- INTERECT. CACCONICC TO LEADY	
D. INTEREST; EAGERNESS TO LEARN	
I. Poor. Shows no interest in job. Regards job as a drag or waste of time.	
2. Fair. Shows minimal interest but not very eager to learn.	
3. Satisfactory. Shows average amount of interest. Wants to learn own job but does not put fo	rth extra
elloir.	
4. Good. Above-average interest in job. Asks questions about own work and related work. May	do extra
WOLK to improve skills.	
X 5. Outstanding. Eager to master job. Wants to know everything there is to know about it. May r	ead un on
own time or volunteer to do things that will improve knowledge.	caa ap on
E. ABILITY TO LEARN	
1. Poor. Has very low aptitude and is very slow to learn. Even when given extra instruction unal	hle to learn
NO Matter now hard trying.	sie to learn,
2. Fair. Slow but if tries eventually will pick up the skills. Needs more instructions than most.	
3. Average. No slower and no faster to learn than most inmates. Requires average amount of	instruction
4. Good. Learns rapidly. Good memory. Karely makes the same mistake twice	
X 5. Outstanding. Very quick to learn. Excellent memory. Is learning much more rapidly than most	innanta
assigned here. Never makes the same mistake twice.	inmates
F. NEED FOR SUPERVISION; DEPENDABILITY; SAFETY; CARE OF EQUIPMENT	
I. Needs constant supervision. If left unsupervised will foul up, get in trouble, or wander off. Und	
2. Needs closer supervision than most. Not very dependable.	rependable.
3. Average. Can be relied on for certain things but must be supervised by others. Usually promp	
dependable.	t and
X 4. Needs little supervision. Good record of dependability an promptness.	
5. No supervision required. Completely dependable in all things.	
5. RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION	
I. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor.	
2. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions.	
4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve.	
X 5. Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the instructor. Does exactly as is told.	
As a mistractor. Does exactly as is told	

H. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS	
I. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others.	
2. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some interpersonal difficulties.	
3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers and is accepted by them.	
4. Good. Friendly, congenial, helpful; others like to work with.	
X 5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very popular.	
I. OVERALL JOB PROFICIENCY	
Based on this inmate's overall performance during this work period, if this inmate was an emplo	vee of your
in the community would you:	,
I. Fire or lay off that individual?	
2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a lower pay scale?	
X 3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise or promotion this time?	
4. Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the same job?	
5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a higher pay rate?	

### WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

nmate's Name: Carmine Corrieri	Register No: 90475-053	Unit: KC
Evaluation Period: Duration of Food Service work	Work Assignment: F/S # 5	

### Bonus Justification:

Corrieri, Carmine is a great addition to our department from day one, and during his time here he has worked in food service he's distinguished himself as a quick learner, consistently diligent worker and overall bright man. He was never once late for a shift, and often stepped forward to cover the shifts of other missing personnel. Corrieri is a highly valued member of the team and has made constant strong contributions during his time here. I place a premium on team players and Carmine has been a natural fit.

He was also intuitive in his interactions with other workers, finding just the right balance of friendliness and leadership without being imposing. Corrieri has a pleasant, even temperament and keeps his cool in even the most stressful situations. What impressed me the most is through all of the changes going on right now and the multitude of task I ask of Carmine, he consistently makes it all look effortless. Some on the many task he is tasked with daily are. Some on the many task he is tasked with daily are: be the #1 in the dish room, loading and unloading breakfast and lunch carts for delivery to 2000 inmates, maintaining a high level of sanitation in the department, helping with the delivery of special diet meals to numerous units. Inmate Carmine help during the Coronavirus and cooler malfunctions were invaluable to the success of the Food Service Department. He has excellent written and verbal communication skills, is extremely organized, can work independently, and is able to effectively multi-task to ensure that all projects are completed in a timely manner. Because of his effectiveness, I even given him additional responsibilities; including training all new workers. Because of his effectiveness I am able to assume more task and focus more on my work, because I have the confidence that the job will be completed and completed the right way with minimum supervising.

He has displayed an eagerness to learn and a constructive attitude towards feedback. He also has quickly gained the respect and trust of colleagues and he been praised for his reliability and collaborative spirit. Corrieri has a passion for the job and that has had a positive impact across a number of projects. His attitude and dedication has rubbed off on his co-workers and he is frequently used to motivate other workers.

Instructions: Check the best statement in each area. Base your rating on the inmate's overall performance for the rating period--neither the inmate's best day nor worst day--as compared to what is expected of a satisfactory worker in the assignment.

\_3. Average. Can be relied on for certain things but must be supervised by others. Usually prompt and

\_4. Needs little supervision. Good record of dependability an promptness.

X 5. No supervision required. Completely dependable in all things.

dependable.

### Case 2:17-cr-00659-JMA-AKT Document 36-1 Filed 06/02/20 Page 8 of 13 PageID #: 211 G. RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION I. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor. 2. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions. \_\_\_\_3. Satisfactory. Generally does what is told without any fuss. \_\_4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve. X 5. Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the instructor. Does exactly as is told. H. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS \_\_\_\_I. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others. \_\_\_\_2. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some interpersonal difficulties. \_\_\_\_\_3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers and is accepted by them. \_\_4. Good. Friendly, congenial, helpful; others like to work with. X 5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very popular. I. OVERALL JOB PROFICIENCY Based on this inmate's overall performance during this work period, if this inmate was an employee of yours in the community would you: \_\_\_\_I. Fire or lay off that individual? 2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a lower pay scale? X 3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise or promotion this time? \_\_\_\_4. Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the same job? \_\_\_\_5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a higher pay rate?

Signature and Date of Dept. Head Approval

# COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

This Certifies That

# Carmine Corrieri

Justice-in-Education Initiative Scholars program and in testimony thereof is awarded this has successfully completed the Course of Audy as prescribed by the

Certificate of Completion for Critical Thinking Mini Course

Completion Date

Courses Instructor

# U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Prisons MDC Brooklyn Education Department

Presents this Certificate to

### Carmine Corrieri


In recognition of your completion of instruction course Alternatives to Drug Dealing


8UREARTON MDC Brooklyn

February 17th, 2020

A. 13

C. Bramble - Education Technician





### Carmine Corrieri

Register No. 90475-053

Is presented with the

## Exemplary Service Award Recreation Aide

as a Recreation Aide for Recreation Services. Your tireless enthusiasm, hard work and dedication for maintaining the highest standards, looking after the smallest detail, and going the extra mile to the inmate population at Metropolitan Detention Center Brooklyn is commendable.

This certificate is hereby issued this 3rd day of November, 2019.

D. Harper, Recreation Specialist

